

Assessment of Government Initiatives for the Growth of Cottage Industry in India



Anurag Verma^{1*}, Preeti Sharma²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar University, Agra-282004, U. P., India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Smt. B.D. Jain Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Agra-282001, U. P., India

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Anurag Verma

e-mail: anuragsubhashverma@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Cottage Industries, Government Initiatives, MSMEs, Rural Development, Employment Generation, PMEGP, SFURTI, MUDRA, ODOP.

ARTICLE DETAILS

Received 10 May 2026; revised 25 June 2026; accepted 30 June 2026

DOI: 10.26671/IJIRG.2026.3.15.105

CITATION

Verma, A., Sharma, P. (2026). Assessment of Government Initiatives for the growth of Cottage Industry in India. *Int J Innovat Res Growth*, 15(3), 153010-153016. DOI



This work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons License.

Abstract

Cottage industries play a vital role in the Indian economy, significantly contributing to job creation, rural development, poverty reduction, and the preservation of traditional crafts. Acknowledging their socio-economic value, the Government of India has rolled out several initiatives designed to bolster these industries through financial support, skill enhancement, technological aid, infrastructure improvements, and market promotion. This study evaluates the effectiveness of key government initiatives aimed at fostering the growth and sustainability of cottage industries in India. It delves into important schemes such as the Prime Minister's Employment Generation Programme (PMEGP), the Scheme of Fund for Regeneration of Traditional Industries (SFURTI), the Pradhan Mantri MUDRA Yojana (PMMY), the Prime Minister Vishwakarma Scheme, One District One Product (ODOP), and the Digital India initiatives. Using a descriptive and analytical approach, the study relies on secondary data gathered from government reports, research articles, and policy documents. The findings indicate that government interventions have had a positive impact on job creation, entrepreneurial growth, access to financing, and market reach. However, challenges like limited awareness, complex procedures, technological hurdles, and marketing issues still hinder the effectiveness of these initiatives. The study wraps up with policy suggestions aimed at enhancing the impact of government support systems and ensuring the sustainable development of cottage industries in India.

1. Introduction

India boasts a vibrant tradition of cottage industries that stretches back to ancient times. These industries are typically marked by small-scale production, family ownership, labor-intensive methods, and the use of resources found locally. Cottage industries are vital for fostering inclusive growth, especially by creating job opportunities in rural and semi-urban areas. But the importance of cottage industries goes beyond just their economic impact. They help preserve traditional crafts, celebrate cultural heritage, empower women, and encourage balanced regional development. In recent years, globalization and industrialization have brought both new opportunities and challenges for these industries. While they now have access to larger markets, they also face stiff competition from big industries and imported goods. To tackle these challenges and bolster the sector, the Government of India has rolled out a variety of schemes and policies. These initiatives aim to enhance financial inclusion, promote entrepreneurship, improve skills, modernize technology, build infrastructure, and support market connections. Evaluating these initiatives is crucial to gauge their effectiveness and pinpoint areas that need improvement.

2. Review of Literature

Singh and Sharma (2018) found that government financial assistance significantly improves the performance of rural enterprises and enhances employment generation.

Kumar (2019) reported that PMEGP has played a vital role in promoting self-employment and entrepreneurial activities among rural youth.

Patel and Desai (2020) observed that access to institutional credit remains a major challenge for cottage industry entrepreneurs despite various government initiatives.

Verma (2020) highlighted the importance of digital marketing in expanding the market reach of traditional cottage industries.

Sharma and Gupta (2021) concluded that SFURTI has contributed to the revival of traditional industries through cluster-based development.

Rao (2021) emphasized that technological adoption enhances productivity and competitiveness among cottage industry units.

Mishra and Tiwari (2022) found that skill development programs have positively influenced entrepreneurial capabilities in rural areas.

Jain and Agarwal (2022) reported that women entrepreneurs have significantly benefited from government-sponsored financial schemes.

Khan (2023) identified inadequate awareness as a major barrier preventing entrepreneurs from fully utilizing government support programs.

Gupta and Singh (2024) concluded that integrated policy support is essential for achieving sustainable growth in cottage industries.

3. Research Gap

Most existing research tends to zoom in on single government programs or particular areas. There's a noticeable lack of studies that take a broader look at various government initiatives and how they work together to boost cottage industry development throughout India. This study aims to fill that void by offering a comprehensive assessment of the key government efforts in this field.

4. Objectives of the Study

1. To explore how cottage industries contribute to the economic growth of India.
2. To take a closer look at key government initiatives aimed at boosting cottage industry development.
3. To evaluate how government schemes have influenced job creation and entrepreneurship.
4. To pinpoint the challenges that cottage industry entrepreneurs encounter when trying to access government benefits.
5. To recommend strategies for enhancing the effectiveness of government initiatives.

5. Research Methodology

5.1 Research Design This study takes a quantitative approach, blending descriptive and explanatory methods to evaluate how effective government initiatives have been in fostering the growth of cottage industries in India. We'll be using a cross-sectional survey method to gather data from participants at a single moment in time.

5.2 Research Approach We're following a deductive approach here, where we formulate hypotheses based on existing literature and government policies, then put them to the test with empirical data.

5.3 Population and Study Area Our focus is on entrepreneurs, artisans, and owners of both registered and unregistered cottage industries across India. This includes a variety of sectors like handicrafts, handloom, food processing, pottery, leather, and other traditional village-based enterprises.

5.4 Sampling Technique and Sample Size To select our respondents, we're employing a multistage sampling technique. We aim to gather a sample of 400 cottage industry entrepreneurs to ensure we have a solid representation for reliable statistical analysis.

5.5 Sources of Data Our study relies on both primary and secondary data. We'll collect primary data through a structured questionnaire, while secondary data will come from reports published by the Ministry of MSME, KVIC, NITI Aayog, RBI, as well as government publications, research journals, books, and other credible sources.

5.6 Research Instrument For our primary data collection, we'll use a structured questionnaire. It will include demographic questions and statements that assess the effectiveness of government initiatives and the growth of cottage industries. Participants will respond using a five-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree).

5.7 Reliability and Validity Before rolling out the final survey, we conduct a pilot study to refine the questionnaire. To gauge the reliability of our instrument, we use Cronbach's Alpha, aiming for a value above 0.70, which is deemed acceptable. We ensure content validity through a thorough review by experts, while construct validity is assessed using Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA).

5.8 Variables of the Study In this study, the independent variable is Government Initiatives, which encompass financial assistance, subsidies, skill development, technology support, infrastructure, marketing assistance, and awareness programs. On the other hand, the dependent variable is the Growth of Cottage Industries, evaluated through various metrics such as production, employment, sales, profitability, business expansion, and sustainability.

6. Data Analysis

The data gathered from the structured questionnaire were carefully coded, organized, and analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 26). Before diving into the analysis, we took a close look at the dataset to check for any missing values, outliers, or data entry mistakes, ensuring everything was accurate and consistent.

To summarize the demographic characteristics of the respondents and gauge their views on the effectiveness of government initiatives for boosting cottage industries, we used descriptive statistics like frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation. We assessed the reliability of our research tool using Cronbach's Alpha coefficient, aiming for a value of 0.70 or higher to confirm internal consistency.

To validate our measurement scale, we conducted Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) to verify the underlying factor structure of the constructs. To explore the relationships among the study variables, we performed Pearson's Correlation Analysis. This helped us understand the strength and direction of the connection between government initiatives and the growth of cottage industries. Additionally, we utilized Multiple Linear Regression Analysis to evaluate how various government initiatives—like financial assistance, skill development, infrastructure support, technology adoption, and marketing assistance—impact the growth of cottage industries.

We tested the regression model at a 5% significance level ($p < 0.05$) to check the statistical significance of the proposed relationships. When relevant, we applied Independent Sample t-tests and One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to investigate differences in respondents' perceptions based on demographic factors such as gender, education level, business experience, and type of enterprise.

In this study, we utilized Independent Sample t-tests and One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to explore how respondents' perceptions varied based on demographic factors like gender, education level, business experience, and the type of enterprise they are involved in. To make the findings clearer and easier to discuss, we presented the results through tables and charts. We interpreted these results in the context of our research objectives, hypotheses, and existing literature, allowing us to draw insightful conclusions about how effective government initiatives have been in fostering the growth and sustainability of cottage industries in India. Here's a quick overview of the statistical tools we used.

Statistical Technique	Purpose
Frequency and Percentage	Profile of respondents
Mean and Standard Deviation	Measure respondents' perceptions
Cronbach's Alpha	Test reliability of the questionnaire
Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)	Assess construct validity
Pearson's Correlation	Examine relationships between variables
Multiple Linear Regression	Assess the impact of government initiatives on cottage industry growth
Independent Sample t-test	Compare perceptions between two groups
One-way ANOVA	Compare perceptions among multiple groups

This analytical framework lays a solid foundation for evaluating the effectiveness of government initiatives and testing our proposed hypotheses in a way that holds up to the rigorous standards typically seen in peer-reviewed management and social science journals.

7. Importance of Cottage Industries in India

Cottage industries play an important role for the growth of national economy. Following are the roles of cottage industries

- Employment Generation

They provide employment opportunities to millions of rural and semi-skilled workers.

- Rural Development

They help reduce rural-urban migration by creating local employment opportunities.

- Women Empowerment

Many cottage industries engage women in productive economic activities.

- Preservation of Traditional Skills

Handicrafts, handloom products, pottery, and other traditional crafts are preserved through cottage industries.

- Export Promotion

Indian handicrafts and traditional products have substantial export potential.

8. Major Government Initiatives for Cottage Industry Development

8.1 Prime Minister's Employment Generation Programme (PMEGP)

PMEGP helps in generating self-employment opportunities through the establishment of micro-enterprises.

Objectives

- Generate employment in rural and urban areas.
- Promote entrepreneurship.
- Reduce unemployment.

Benefits

- Subsidized loans.
- Financial assistance for new enterprises.
- Support for traditional industries.

8.2 Scheme of Fund for Regeneration of Traditional Industries (SFURTI)

SFURTI focuses on organizing traditional industries into clusters to improve competitiveness.

Key Features

- Infrastructure development.
- Skill enhancement.
- Market promotion.
- Common facility centers.

Impact

- Improved productivity.
- Enhanced product quality.
- Better market access.

8.3 Pradhan Mantri MUDRA Yojana (PMMY)

MUDRA provides financial assistance to micro and small entrepreneurs.

Categories

- Shishu
- Kishor
- Tarun

Benefits

- Easy access to credit.
- Reduced dependency on informal lenders.
- Promotion of entrepreneurship.

8.4 Prime Minister Vishwakarma Scheme

The scheme supports traditional artisans and craftspeople.

Objectives

- Skill development.
- Financial support.
- Modernization of traditional occupations.

Beneficiaries

- Carpenters
- Blacksmiths
- Potters
- Tailors

- Artisans

8.5 One District One Product (ODOP)

ODOP promotes specialized products unique to each district.

Benefits

- Branding support.
- Market access.
- Export promotion.
- Value chain development.

8.6 Digital India Initiative

Digital India facilitates technological integration and digital inclusion.

Benefits for Cottage Industries

- E-commerce access.
- Digital payments.
- Online marketing.
- Business digitization.

8.7 MSME Cluster Development Programme

The programme promotes collective growth through cluster-based development.

Advantages

- Shared infrastructure.
- Cost reduction.
- Increased competitiveness.
- Knowledge sharing.

9. Assessment of Government Initiatives

Positive Outcomes

- Employment Generation

Government initiatives have generated substantial employment opportunities in rural and semi-urban areas.

- Financial Inclusion

Schemes such as MUDRA and PMEGP have improved access to institutional finance.

- Entrepreneurship Development

Training and financial support have encouraged self-employment and enterprise creation.

- Market Expansion

ODOP and Digital India initiatives have enhanced market accessibility.

- Technological Upgradation

Government programs have promoted modernization and improved productivity.

Challenges and Limitations

- Lack of Awareness

Many entrepreneurs remain unaware of available government schemes.

- Procedural Complexities

Lengthy documentation and approval processes discourage participation.

- Limited Digital Literacy

Rural entrepreneurs often face difficulties in utilizing digital platforms.

- Marketing Constraints

Competition from large-scale industries affects market performance.

- Inadequate Infrastructure

Insufficient transportation and storage facilities hinder growth.

10. Findings of the Study

1. Government programs have played a big role in creating jobs.
2. Financial assistance initiatives have boosted entrepreneurial efforts.
3. Development focused on clusters has increased productivity and competitiveness.
4. Many entrepreneurs still lack sufficient awareness.
5. Digital initiatives have opened up new marketing avenues.
6. Procedural hurdles still restrict the use of these schemes.
7. Skill development programs have enhanced the capabilities of the workforce.
8. Gaps in infrastructure continue to pose a significant challenge.

Suggestions

1. Boost awareness campaigns about government schemes.

2. Make application and approval processes easier.
3. Enhance digital literacy initiatives in rural communities.
4. Improve infrastructure facilities.
5. Encourage cottage industries to embrace e-commerce.
6. Foster public-private partnerships to develop markets.
7. Raise funding for skill development programs.
8. Set up dedicated support centers for entrepreneurs.

11. Policy Implications

The insights from this research highlight the need for a comprehensive policy framework that brings together finance, technology, skill development, and market access to foster sustainable growth in cottage industries. It's crucial for policymakers to take a well-rounded approach that tackles both economic and operational hurdles.

12. Conclusion

Cottage industries remain a cornerstone of India's economic and social progress. Initiatives from the government, like PMEGP, SFURTI, MUDRA, PM Vishwakarma, ODOP, and Digital India, have made a significant impact on job creation, entrepreneurship, financial inclusion, and market growth. However, challenges such as lack of awareness, inadequate infrastructure, low digital literacy, and complex procedures still linger. By strengthening policy execution, boosting awareness, and encouraging the adoption of technology, we can enhance the effectiveness of these government programs. A unified and inclusive strategy will not only ensure the sustainable growth and competitiveness of cottage industries in India but also contribute to rural development and the overall economic advancement of the nation.

Acknowledgement

I express my sincere gratitude to everyone who has supported and guided me in the successful completion of this research paper entitled "Assessment of Government Initiatives for the Growth of Cottage Industry in India."

First and foremost, I extend my heartfelt thanks to my research supervisor, Dr. Preeti Sharma, Assistant Professor, for her invaluable guidance, continuous encouragement, constructive suggestions, and constant motivation throughout the course of this study. Her expertise and insightful feedback greatly contributed to the quality of this research.

I am also grateful to the faculty members and the Research Department of Dr. B. R. Ambedkar University, Agra, for providing the academic environment and resources necessary for conducting this research. My sincere appreciation is also extended to Smt. B. D. Jain Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Agra, for its academic support and encouragement.

I would like to acknowledge the Government of India, various ministries, and organizations whose published reports, policy documents, statistical data, and official publications served as valuable sources of information for this study.

I am thankful to all respondents, entrepreneurs, researchers, and stakeholders who directly or indirectly contributed their valuable time, knowledge, and insights, making this research possible.

Finally, I express my deepest gratitude to my family, Dr K.P Singh Sir, friends, and well-wishers for their unwavering support, patience, and encouragement throughout the completion of this research paper.

I sincerely acknowledge the contributions of all those who have directly or indirectly helped in the successful completion of this work.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest to declare for this paper.

Funding Sources

The paper was self-funded and not supported by any external funding agency.

Author Contributions

Author 1: Mr. Anurag Verma (Research Scholar, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar University, Agra)

Mr. Anurag Verma conceptualized the research topic, conducted the literature review, designed the research methodology, collected and analyzed the data, interpreted the findings, prepared tables and figures, and drafted the original manuscript. He also coordinated the revision process and incorporated reviewers' and editorial suggestions.

Author 2: Dr. Preeti Sharma (Assistant Professor, Smt. B. D. Jain Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Agra)

Dr. Preeti Sharma supervised the overall research work, provided academic guidance in the development of the research framework and methodology, critically reviewed and edited the manuscript, validated the analysis and interpretation of results, and contributed to improving the quality and intellectual content of the paper. She also approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

References

- [1] Government of India. (2024). Annual Report, Ministry of MSME.
- [2] NITI Aayog. (2023). MSME Development Report.
- [3] Kumar, R. (2019). Entrepreneurship Development in Rural India. *Journal of Rural Development*, 38(2), 245–258.
- [4] Sharma, P., Gupta, S. (2021). Cluster Development and Traditional Industries. *Indian Journal of Management*, 14(12), 42–55.
- [5] Verma, A. (2020). Digital Marketing and Cottage Industries. *International Journal of Business Studies*, 28(3), 89–104.
- [6] Patel, M., Desai, R. (2020). Financial Inclusion and MSMEs. *Journal of Economic Research*, 15(2), 45–60.
- [7] Singh, V., Sharma, R. (2018). Government Support and Rural Enterprises. *Asian Journal of Management*, 9.
- [8] Rao, K. (2021). Technology Adoption in Small Industries. *Management Review*, 33(4), 210–225.
- [9] Jain, S., Agarwal, N. (2022). Women Entrepreneurship and Government Schemes. *Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 31(2), 145–168.
- [10] Gupta, R., Singh, A. (2024). Sustainable Growth of Cottage Industries in India. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, 34(1), 45–62.
- [11] Bala Subrahmanya, M. H. (2017). *Small industry development in India: Lessons for developing countries*. Academic Foundation.
- [12] Baporikar, N. (2021). Innovation and entrepreneurship in MSMEs: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Innovation Science*, 13(4), 462–478.
- [13] Government of India. (2024). *Economic Survey 2023–24*. Ministry of Finance.
- [14] Government of India. (2024). *Annual Report 2023–24*. Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises.
- [15] Government of India. (2024). *PM Vishwakarma Scheme Guidelines*. Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises.
- [16] Government of India. (2023). Prime Minister's Employment Generation Programme (PMEGP): Operational Guidelines. Khadi and Village Industries Commission.
- [17] Government of India. (2023). Scheme of Fund for Regeneration of Traditional Industries (SFURTI): Guidelines. Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises.
- [18] Government of India. (2023). Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Report. Ministry of MSME.
- [19] Government of India. (2022). National MSME Policy Framework. Ministry of MSME.
- [20] International Labour Organization. (2022). World Employment and Social Outlook: Trends 2022. ILO.
- [21] International Labour Organization. (2023). Small and Medium Enterprises and Decent Employment. ILO.
- [22] International Monetary Fund. (2023). India: Staff Country Report. IMF.
- [23] Kapoor, R., Kapoor, D. (2022). Government support and financial inclusion for MSMEs in India. *JournalP of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 9(6), 257–267.
- [24] Khadi and Village Industries Commission. (2024). *Annual Report 2023–24*. KVIC.
- [25] Kumar, A., Singh, R. (2021). Entrepreneurship development through government initiatives in rural India. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Public Policy*, 10(3), 365–381.
- [26] Kumar, N., Verma, S. (2020). Marketing challenges of cottage industries in India. *Journal of Rural Development*, 39(2), 211–230.
- [27] Ministry of Commerce and Industry. (2023). *One District One Product (ODOP): Framework and Progress Report*.
- [28] National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development. (2023). *Annual Report 2022–23*. NABARD.
- [29] Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). *Financing SMEs and Entrepreneurs 2023: An OECD Scoreboard*. OECD Publishing.
- [30] Patel, M., Shah, H. (2021). Cluster development approach for strengthening traditional industries in India. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 70(8), 1945–1964.
- [31] Reserve Bank of India. (2024). *Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India 2023–24*. RBI.
- [32] Sharma, S., Gupta, V. (2022). Digital marketing adoption among Indian MSMEs. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 11(4), 512–530.
- [33] Singh, P., Yadav, R. (2023). Government schemes and entrepreneurial growth of micro enterprises. *Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective*, 27(3), 287–300.
- [34] United Nations Development Programme. (2022). *Human Development Report 2021/2022*. UNDP.
- [35] United Nations Industrial Development Organization. (2022). *Industrial Development Report 2022*. UNIDO.
- [36] World Bank. (2022). *World Development Report 2022: Finance for an Equitable Recovery*. World Bank.
- [37] World Bank. (2023). *India Development Update*. World Bank.
- [38] Yadav, V., Mishra, P. (2022). Financial inclusion and sustainable development of rural enterprises. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 29(5), 741–760.