ISSN: 2455-1848
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH & GROWTH
VOLUME-II ISSUE-V MARCH-2016
WWW.IJIRG.COM

STUDY OF LOCATION RECOMMENDER SYSTEM WITH IMPLEMENTATION OF USER QUERY

[1]Rohit Kosta& [2]Prof. Javed Akhtar Khan
[1]Research Scholar Takshshila Institute of Engineering and Technology, Jabalpur, M.P. India
[2]Takshshila Institute of Engineering and Technology, Jabalpur, M.P. India
E-mail- rohit.kosta.86@gmail.com

Abstract
LARS, a location-aware recommender system that uses location-based ratings to produce recommendations is proposed. Traditional recommender systems do not consider spatial properties of users nor items; LARS, on the other hand, supports a taxonomy of three novel classes of location-based ratings, namely, spatial ratings for non-spatial items, non-spatial ratings for spatial items, and spatial ratings for spatial items. LARS exploits user rating locations through user partitioning, a technique that influences recommendations with ratings spatially close to querying users in a manner that maximizes system scalability while not sacrificing recommendation quality. LARS exploits item locations using travel penalty, a technique that favors recommendation candidates closer in travel distance to querying users in a way that avoids exhaustive access to all spatial items. LARS can apply these techniques separately, or together, depending on the type of location-based rating available. LARS is efficient, scalable, and capable of producing recommendations twice as accurate compared to existing recommendation approaches.
***Keyword** –LARS, LARS, DM, KDD, SpatialMining, CF.*

Introduction

Recommender systems make use of community opinions to help users identify useful items from a considerably large search space. The technique used by many of these systems is collaborative filtering (CF), which analyzes past community opinions to find correlations of similar users and items to suggest k personalized items (e.g., movies) to a querying user u. Community opinions are expressed through explicit ratings represented by the triple (user, rating, item) that represents a user providing a numeric rating for an item. Currently, myriad applications can produce location-based ratings that embed user and/or item locations. For example, location-based social networks allow users to “check-in” at spatial destinations (e.g., restaurants) and rate their visit, thus are capable of associating both user and item locations with ratings. Such ratings motivate an interesting new paradigm of location-aware recommendations, whereby the recommender system exploits the spatial aspect of ratings when producing recommendations.

Objective of the study

To design a location-aware recommender system (LARS), which support three types of query retrieval in a single framework. To design the framework in JAVA. LARS produces recommendations using location-based ratings within a single framework: Spatial ratings for non-spatial items, non-spatial ratings for spatial items, and spatial ratings for spatial items. To arrive top-k results for user specific query.

Literature Review

This section of paper are include the literature of various research paper and make a proper compression analysis of location acquaint related information. A wide array of techniques are used in this paper this paper is capable of producing recommendations using non-spatial ratings for non-spatial items. As per the M.H Park et.al used the contextual attribute concept for the location recommender , in this research paper author are make statistical recommendation models are introduce the commercial applications make cursory use of location when proposing interesting items to users and displays a “local favorites” list containing popular movies for a user’s given city. The City Voyager system mines a user’s personal GPS trajectory data to determine her preferred shopping sites, and provides recommendation based on where the system predicts the user is likely to go in the future. LARS, conversely, does not attempt to predict future user movement, as it produces recommendations influenced by user and/or item locations embedded in community ratings. The spatial activity recommendation system is proposed by V.W Zheng et.al mines GPS trajectory data with embedded user-provided tags in order to detect interesting activities located in a city (e.g., art exhibits and dining near downtown). It uses this data to answer two query types: (a) given an activity type, return where in the city this activity is happening, and (b) given an explicit spatial region, provide the activities available in this region. This is a vastly different problem than we study in this paper.

LARS does not mine activities from GPS data for use as suggestions for a given spatial region. Rather, we apply LARS to a more traditional recommendation problem that uses community opinion histories to produce recommendations. Geo-measured friend-based collaborative filtering produces recommendations by using only ratings that are from a queryinguser’s social-network friends that live in the same city. This technique only addresses user location embedded in ratings. LARS, on the other hand, addresses three possible types of location-based ratings. More importantly, LARS is a complete system (not just a recommendation technique) that employs efficiency and scalability techniques (e.g., merging, splitting, and early query necessary for deployment in actual large-scale applications. Author P.Venetis and H Gonzalez proposed the problem of hyper-local place ranking. Given a user location and query string, hyper-local ranking provides a list of top-k points of interest influenced by previously logged directional queries. Hyper-local ranking does not personalize answers to the querying user, i.e., two users issuing the same search term from the same location will receive exactly the same ranked answer set. Recommendation algorithms are best known for their use on e-commerce Web sites, where they use input about a customer’s interests to generate a list of recommended items. Many applications use only the items that customers purchase and explicitly rate to represent their interests, but they can also use other attributes, including items viewed, demographic data, subject interests, and favorite artists-commerce recommendation algorithms often operate in a challenging environment. For example: A large retailer might have huge amounts of data, tens of millions of customers and millions of distinct catalog items. Many applications require the results set to be returned in real time, in no more than half a second, while still producing high-quality recommendations. New customers typically have extremely limited information, based on only a few purchases or product ratings. Older customers can have a glut of information, based on thousands of purchases and ratings. Customer data is volatile: Each interaction provides valuable customer data, and the algorithm must respond immediately to new information. There are three common approaches to solving the recommendation problem: traditional collaborative filtering, cluster models, and search-based methods. Here, we compare these methods with our algorithm, which we call item-to-item collaborative filtering. The paper presents an overview of the field of recommender systems and describes the current generation of recommendation methods that are usually classified into the following three main categories: content-based, collaborative, and hybrid recommendation approaches. The paper also describes various limitations of current recommendation methods and discusses possible extensions that can improve recommendation capabilities and make recommender systems applicable to an even broader range of applications. These extensions include, among others, improvement of understanding of users and items, incorporation of the contextual information into the recommendation process, support for multi-criteria ratings, and provision of more flexible and less intrusive types of recommendationsThis paper introduces the Scalable Incremental hash-based Algorithm (SINA, for short); a new algorithm for evaluating a set of concurrent continuous spatio-temporal queries. SINA is designed with two goals in mind: (1) Scalability in terms of the number of concurrent continuous spatiotemporal queries, and (2) Incremental evaluation of continuous spatio-temporal queries. SINA achieves scalability by employing a shared execution paradigm where the execution of continuous spatio-temporal queries is abstracted as a spatial join between a set of moving objects and a set of moving queries. Incremental evaluation is achieved by computing only the updates of the previously reported answer. Recommender systems have been evaluated in many, often incomparable, ways. In this article, researcher are review the key decisions in evaluating collaborative filtering recommender systems: the user tasks being evaluated, the types of analysis and datasets being used, the ways in which prediction quality is measured, the evaluation of prediction attributes other than quality, and the user-based evaluation of the system as a whole. Assume that each object in a database has m grades, or scores, one for each of m attributes. For example, an object can have a color grade that tells how red it is and a shape grade that tells how round it is. For each attribute, there is a sorted list, which lists each object and its grade under that attribute, sorted by grade (highest grade first). Each object is assigned an overall grade that is obtained by combining the attribute grades using a fixed monotone aggregation function, or combining rule, such as min or average. To determine the top k objects, that is, k objects with the highest overall grades, the naive algorithm must access every object in the database, to find its grade under each attribute. In this paper author are introduce the problem becomes more challenging when people travel to a new city where they have no activity history researcher are propose LCARS, a location-content-aware recommender system that offers a particular user a set of venues (e.g., restaurants) or events (e.g., concerts and exhibitions) by giving consideration to both personal interest and local preference. This recommender system can facilitate people’s travel not only near the area in which they live, but also in a city that is new to them. This paper include the two Components that one is offline modeling and second is online recommender. In this research paper author are used the classic Threshold Algorithm (TA) are used. This paper is Evaluate the performance the data set for the location .This is a survey paper related the Spatial Data mining Progress and Challenges in this paper author are include the introduction part of spatial mining concept and summarize recent work on the spatial mining researcher are include the generalization to spatial mining, cluster data of spatial mining and introduce the some challenges issue in this field of data mining. LARS exploits user rating locations through user partitioning, a technique that influences recommendations with ratings spatially close to querying users in a manner that maximizes system scalability while not sacrificing recommendation quality .LARS produces recommendations using a taxonomy of three types of location-based ratings within a single framework: (1) Spatial ratings for non-spatial items, represented as a four-tuple (user, allocation, rating, item), where allocation represents a user location, for example, a user located at home rating a book; (2) non-spatial ratings for spatial items, represented as a four-tuple (user, rating, item, location), where allocation represents an item location, for example, a user with unknown location rating a restaurant; (3) spatial ratings for spatial items, represented as a five-tuple (user, allocation, rating, item, location).In this paper author are used the pyramid approach for the filtering the location .In this paper researcher are collect the various issues and challenges related to spatiotemporal data representation, analysis, mining and visualization of knowledge are presented. Various kinds of data mining tasks such as association rules, classification clustering for discovering knowledge from spatiotemporal datasets are examined and reviewed. System functional requirements for such kind of knowledge discovery and database structure are discussed. Finally applications of spatiotemporal data mining are presented.

Conclusion

In this author are collect the literature survey data related to the location recommender system that is proposed by the some researcher.

Reference

[1]G. Adomavicius and A. Tuzhilin, "Toward the Next Generation of Recommender Systems: A Survey of the State-of-the-Art and Possible Extensions," TKDE, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 734–749, 2005.

[2] M.-H. Park et al, "Location-Based Recommendation System Using Bayesian User"s Preference Model in Mobile Devices," in UIC, 2007.

[3] Y. Takeuchi and M. Sugimoto, "An Outdoor Recommendation System based on User Location History," in UIC, 2006.

[4]V. W. Zheng, Y. Zheng, X. Xie, and Q. Yang, "Collaborative Location and Activity Recommendations with GPS History Data," in WWW, 2010.

[5] M. Ye, P. Yin, and W.-C. Lee, "Location Recommendation for ocationbased Social Networks," in ACM SIGSPATIAL GIS, 2010.

[6]P. Venetis, H. Gonzalez, C. S. Jensen, and A. Y. Halevy, "Hyper-Local,Directions-Based Ranking of Places," PVLDB, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 290–301, 2011.

[7]Amazon.com Recommendations Item-to-Item Collaborative Filtering Greg Linden, Brent Smith, and Jeremy York • Amazon.com

[8]Towards the Next Generation of Recommender Systems: A Survey of the State-of-the-Art and Possible ExtensionsGediminas Adomavicius1 and Alexander Tuzhilin;

[9]SINA: Scalable Incremental Processing of Continuous Queries in Spatiotemporal Databases Mohamed F. MokbelXiaopengXiongWalid G. Aref, Department of Computer Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-1398.

[10] Evaluating Collaborative Filtering Recommender Systems Jonathan l. Herlocker, Oregon State University and Joseph a. Konstan, loren g. Terveen, and John t. Riedl, University of Minnesota

[11] Optimal aggregation algorithms for middlewareRonald Fagin, IBM Almaden Research Center, 650 Harry Road, San Jose, CA 95120, USA; AmnonLotem, Department of Computer Science, University of Maryland-College Park, College Park, MD 20742, USA; and MoniNaorc, Department of Computer Science and Applied Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel0

[12] LCARS: A Location-Content-Aware Recommender System Hongzhi Yin† Yizhou Sun§ Bin Cui† Zhiting Hu† Ling Chen‡†Department of Computer Science and Technology Key Laboratory of High Confidence Software Technologies, Peking University

[13] Spatial Data Mining: Progress and Challenges Survey paper Krzysztof Koperski et al.

[14]IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON KNOWLEDGE AND DATA ENGINEERING, VOL. 26, NO. 6, JUNE 2014 LARS*: An Efficient and Scalable Location-Aware Recommender System Mohamed Sarwat, Justin J. Levandoski, Ahmed Eldawy, and Mohamed F. Mokbel 2014

[15]International Journal of Computer Science & Engineering Survey (IJCSES) Vol.3, No.1, ebruary 2012DOI : 10.5121/ijcses.2012.3104
39SPATIOTEMPORAL DATA MINING: ISSUES, TASKS AND APPLICATIONSK.Venkateswara Rao1, A.Govardhan2 and K.V.Chalapati Rao11Department of Computer Science and Engineering, CVR College of ngineering,Ibrahimpatnam RR District, Andhra Pradesh, India kvenkat.cse@gmail.comchalapatiraokv@gmail.com 2JNTUH, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India govardhan_cse@yahoo.co.in.